# **Aromatic Nucleophilic Substitution. 21.' Electronic Structures of 1,3-Dinitro-I-phenanthryl Methyl Ether and the 4,4-Disubstituted 1,3-Dinitrophenanthrene Meisenheimer Complex**

Shizen Sekiguchi,\* Motohiko Hirai, Etsuro Ota,<sup>2a</sup> Hiroshi Hiratsuka,<sup>2b</sup> Yuji Mori,<sup>2c</sup> and Shigeyuki Tanaka2d

*Department of Synthetic Chemistry, Gunma Uniuersity, Kiryu, Gunma* **376,** *Japan* 

*Received* March *1, 1985* 

The electronic structures of **1,3-dinitro-4-phenanthryl** methyl ether (2) and its **4,4-dimethoxy-1,3-dinitro**phenanthrene Meisenheimer complex **(3),** formed from 2 and NaOCH3, were studied by UV-visible and NMR spectra and compared with the results calculated by a semiempirical Pariser-Parr-Paple method. The electronic transitions in the ether 2 and complex 3 were assigned to  $*\pi \leftarrow \pi$ . Calculated transition energies and oscillator transitions in the ether 2 and complex 3 were assigned to  $*\pi \leftarrow \pi$ . Calculated transition energies and oscillator strengths agree well with the observed values. Calculations showed that in the S<sub>0</sub> state of complex 3 90. one negative charge donated by "OCH<sub>3</sub> is distributed on the 1- and 3-NO<sub>2</sub> groups and that in the  $S_1 \leftarrow S_0$  transition of the complex the  $\pi$  formal charge is further spread over the 1- and 3-NO<sub>2</sub> groups. A kinetic m CH<sub>3</sub>OH at 25 °C gave a little smaller equilibrium constant for formation of 3 than that for the similar naphthalene anionic  $\sigma$  complex.

Many Meisenheimer complexes such as **1** have been prepared by nucleophilic attack on polynitro aromatic compounds  $(R_1 \text{ and } R_2 \text{ are alkoxyl, hydroxyl, amino, cyano, ...})$ and halo groups, etc.). $^3$  Such complexes have been identified as intermediates in many aromatic nucleophilic substitution reactions by means of improved reaction rate analyzers.<sup>3</sup>



Although the electronic structures of some such complexes have been theoretically analyzed by several workers,<sup>4-8</sup> no MO treatment has been performed on phenanthrene complexes.

Prior to a kinetic study on the formation and decomposition of the 4,4-dimethoxy anionic  $\sigma$  complex (3) in the reaction of **1,3-dinitro-4-phenanthryl** methyl ether (2) and NaOCH3, we have carried out MO calculations on 2 and 3. In this reaction (eq **1)** the hydrogen atom at the **5-** 

$$
CH_{3}O
$$
  
\n
$$
NO_{2}
$$
\n
$$
+ OCH_{3}
$$
  
\n
$$
COH_{3}
$$
  
\n
$$
CH_{3}O
$$
  
\n
$$
CH_{3}O
$$
  
\n
$$
COH_{3}
$$
  
\n
$$
CH_{3}O
$$
  
\n
$$
CO_{2}
$$
  
\n
$$
H_{2}
$$
  
\n
$$
CO_{2}
$$
  
\n
$$
O_{2}
$$

~~~ ~ **(1)** Part **20** Sekiguchi, S.; Hirai, M.; Tomoto, N. J. *Org.* Chem. **1984, 49, 2378.** 

**(2)** (a) College **of** Technology, Gunma University. (b) and **(c)** Tokyo Institute of Technology, Faculty of Science, Meguro, Tokyo **152.** (d) Department **of** Applied Chemistry, Faculty of Engineering, the University

of Tokyo, Hongo, Tokyo **113. (3)** (a) Pietra, **F. Q.** *Reu.* Chem. SOC. **1969,23,504.** (b) de Boer, T. J.; Dirkx, I. P. "The Chemistry of the Nitro and Nitroso Groups", Part I; Feuer, H., Ed. Interscience: New York, 1969; p 487. (c) Crampton, M.<br>R. *Adv. Phys. Org. Chem.* 1969, 7, 211. (d) Foster, R.; Fyfe, C. A. *Rev.*<br>*Pure Appl. Chem.* 1966, *16,* 61. (e) Buncel, E.; Norris, A. R.; Russel, K. E. Q. Rev. Chem. Soc. 1968, 22, 123. (f) Buck, P. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1969, 8, 120. (g) Strauss, M. J. Chem. Rev. 1970, 70, 667. (h) Sekiguchi, S. Yuki Gosei Kagaku Kyokai Shi 1978, 36, 633. (i) Terrier, F. Chem.

**627.** 

**(5)** Caveng, **P.;** Fisher, P. B.; Heilbronner, E.; Miller, A. L.; Zollinger, H. *Helu. Chim.* Acta **1967,50, 848.** 

**(6)** (a) Hosoya, H.; Hosoya, S.; Nagakura, S. *Theor. Chim. Acta* **1968, 12, 117.** (b) Nagakura, S. *Tetrahedron, Suppl.* **1963,19, 361.** 

**(7)** Sekiguchi, S.; Tsutaumi, K.; Shizuka, H.; Matsui, K.; Itagaki, T. Bull. Chem. *Soc. Jpn.* **1967,49, 1521.** 

*(8)* Sekiguchi, S.; Hirose, T.; Tsutaumi, K.; Aizawa, T.; Shizuka, H. *J.*  Org. Chem. **1979,44,3921.** 

Table I. Calculated and Observed Transition Energies, Oscillator Strengths, and Transition Moments of **1,3-Dinitro-4-phenanthryl** Methyl Ether **2** 

| transition energy, eV                       |                  |                     | oscillator<br>strength |                  | transition<br>moment |          |  |  |
|---------------------------------------------|------------------|---------------------|------------------------|------------------|----------------------|----------|--|--|
| $- S_0$                                     | $E_{\rm{calcd}}$ | $E_{\mathrm{obsd}}$ | $\it \Gamma$ calcd     | $I$ obsd         | X                    | γ        |  |  |
| Method A                                    |                  |                     |                        |                  |                      |          |  |  |
|                                             | 3.446            | $\alpha$            | 0.010                  | a                | 0.157                | 0.092    |  |  |
|                                             | 3.482            | 3.580               | 0.533                  | $\boldsymbol{a}$ | $-1.316$             | 0.132    |  |  |
| $\begin{matrix} S_1\ S_2\ S_3 \end{matrix}$ | 4.048            | 4.074               | 0.732                  | $\boldsymbol{a}$ | 0.529                | 1.337    |  |  |
| Method B                                    |                  |                     |                        |                  |                      |          |  |  |
|                                             | 2.783            | 0.000               | 0.183                  | 0.000            | $-0.293$             | 0.816    |  |  |
|                                             | 2.880            | 0.000               | 0.514                  | 0.000            | $-1.423$             | $-0.121$ |  |  |
| $S_1S_2S_3$                                 | 3.318            | 3.580               | 0.504                  | α                | 0.312                | 1.300    |  |  |
|                                             |                  |                     |                        |                  |                      |          |  |  |

Not measured.

position would be expected to exert a larger steric hindrance on the rate of formation of 3 than in similar reactions of benzene or naphthalene analogues.

This paper reports on the electronic structures of 2 and 3 and on a comparison of the observed spectral results with those obtained by the MO method.

## **Method of Calculation**

MO calculations were carried out by a semiempirical Pariser-Parr-Pople (PPP) method.<sup>9,10</sup> The geometries of 2 and 3 are shown in Figure **1.** 

The parameters used for PPP calculation were as follows: all C-C bond lengths and all bond angles, assumed to be **1.395 A** and **120°,** respectively; the C-N and N-0 bond lengths, assumed to be **1.486** and **1.210 A.** As onecenter and two-center integrals, the Pariser-Parr<sup>9,10</sup> (eq 2) and the Nishimoto-Mataga (eq 3) equations $^{11}$  were used, respectively:

$$
(ii/ii) = I_p - E_a \tag{2}
$$

where  $i = 2p \pi AO$  (atomic orbital) of C-*i*,  $I_p$  = ionization potential, and  $E_a$  = electron affinity;

$$
(ii/jj) = 1/(K + R_{ij})
$$
 (3)

where  $i = 2p \pi A0$  of C-j and  $R_{ii}$  = internuclear distance between **C-i** and *C-j.* 

**<sup>(9)</sup>** Pariser, **R.;** Parr, R. G. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1953, 21, 466, 767.** 

**<sup>(10)</sup>** Pople, **J.** A. *Trans. Faraday* SOC. **1953,49, 1375. (11)** Nishmotq **K.;** Mataga, N. *2. Phys.* Chem. *(Weisbaden)* **1957,12,** 

**<sup>335.</sup>** 



Figure **1.** Geometry of **2** and **3** (positional number is available only for MO calculations and the substituent at the 5-position of **2** is assumed to be OH group).



Figure 2. Absorption spectrum of 3 in CH<sub>3</sub>OH:  $[2] = 4.37 \times$  $10^{-5}$  M; [NaOCH<sub>3</sub>] = 1.53 M; vertical lines, calculated oscillator strengths on an arbitary scale.

Table **11.** Calculated and Observed Transition Energies, Oscillator Strengths, and Transition Moments **of**  Meisenheimer Complex **3** 

| transition energy, eV                         |                  |                | oscillator strength <sup>a</sup> | transition<br>moment |          |          |
|-----------------------------------------------|------------------|----------------|----------------------------------|----------------------|----------|----------|
| S.<br>$\overline{\phantom{a}}$ S <sub>0</sub> | $E_{\rm{calcd}}$ | $E_{\rm obsd}$ | $f_{\rm{calcd}}$                 | $t_{\mathrm{obad}}$  | X        | Y        |
|                                               |                  |                | Method A                         |                      |          |          |
| $S_1$                                         | 2.799            | 2.442          | 0.543(1)                         | 0.262(1)             | 0.753    | 1.285    |
| $S_2$                                         | 3.277            | 3.204          | 0.445(0.83)                      | 0.100(0.38)          | 0.452    | $-1.171$ |
| $S_3$                                         | 3.733            | 3.416          | 0.369(0.68)                      | 0.140(0.53)          | 0.964    | $-0.447$ |
| $\mathbf{S}_4$                                | 4.359            | 3.752          | 0.348(0.64)                      | 0.080(0.31)          | 0.744    | 0.600    |
|                                               |                  |                | Method B                         |                      |          |          |
| $\mathbf{S}_1$                                | 2.476            | 2.442          | 0.882(1)                         | 0.262(1)             | $-0.354$ | $-1.987$ |
| $S_2$                                         | 3.199            | 3.204          | 0.429(0.49)                      | 0.100(0.38)          | 1.037    | $-0.676$ |
| $S_3$                                         | 3.607            | 3.416          | 0.141(0.16)                      | 0.140(0.53)          | 0.371    | $-0.556$ |
| $\mathrm{S}_4$                                | 3.640            | 3.752          | 0.163(0.18)                      | 0.080(0.31)          | $-0.706$ | $-0.107$ |

<sup>a</sup>The figures in parentheses represent the relative strengths.

In eq 3,  $K$  is determined so that the relationship  $(ii/j)$  $=$ <sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub>[( $\tilde{ii}/\tilde{ii}$ ) + ( $\tilde{jj}/\tilde{jj}$ )] holds when  $R_{ij}$  = 0. The resonance integrals were assumed to be  $-2.38, -2.38, -2.30,$  and  $-2.38$ eV for  $\beta_{C=C}$ ,  $\beta_{CN}$ ,  $\beta_{CO}$ , and  $\beta_{N=0}$ , respectively.

The following valence state ionization potentrals  $(I_p)$  and electron affinities  $(E_a)$  (eV) were used:



The computation was carried out on a Hitachi M-280 located at the Tokyo Institute of Technology.

### **Results and Discussion**

**Absorption Spectrum of the Reaction of 1,3-Dinitro-4-phenanthryl Methyl Ether (2) with Sodium Methoxide in CH<sub>3</sub>OH.** Addition of excess NaOCH<sub>3</sub> to



**Figure 3.**  $\pi$  formal charges of 2 and 3 in the S<sub>0</sub> and S<sub>1</sub> states.

Table **III.**  $\pi$  Formal Charge  $({\bf S}_0)^a$ 

|            |            |    |                  | 3          |
|------------|------------|----|------------------|------------|
| (method B) | (method A) |    | (method B)       | (method A) |
| 0.023      | $-0.012$   | 12 | 0.004            | $-0.040$   |
| 0.011      | $-0.031$   | 13 | $-0.050$         | 0.024      |
| 0.025      | $-0.022$   | 14 | 0.052            | 0.984      |
| $-0.022$   | 0.000      | 15 | 0.746            | $-0.628$   |
| 0.130      | $-0.221$   | 16 | $-0.452$         | $-0.637$   |
| $-0.088$   | 0.114      | 17 | $-0.492$         | 0.987      |
| 0.114      | $-0.227$   | 18 | 0.747            | $-0.627$   |
| $-0.055$   | 0.006      | 19 | $-0.459$         | $-0.634$   |
| 0.009      | $-0.019$   | 20 | $-0.473$         |            |
| 0.061      | $-0.016$   | 21 | 0.173            |            |
| $-0.003$   | 0.004      |    |                  |            |
|            | 2          | 3  | pos <sup>b</sup> | 2          |

"Compare the figures with those in Figure 3.  $b$  For positional numbers, refer to Figure 1. These numbers are available in the MO calculation only. Note that the positional numbers in the text were based on the normal method.

a methanolic solution of **2** at room temperature yielded a red solution instantly, indicating formation of an anionic  $\sigma$  complex (eq 1; Figure 2). Tables I and II show the calculated and observed transition energies, transition moments, and oscillator strengths in the electronic transitions of **2** and **3.** The absorption spectrum of **2** is comparatively simple, with a maximum absorption at 346 nm (28903 cm-', **t** 79901, a shoulder band at 307 nm (32 575 cm-', **e** 9050), and no absorption above 430 nm (23256 cm-I). The absorption spectra of **2** and **3** are attributable to  $*\pi \leftarrow \pi$  transitions, judging from the data in Tables I and 11.

In Table I the observed transition energies  $(E_{obsd})$  agree with the  $E_{\text{calcd}}$  values derived by method A better than those derived by method B. With **2** the spectrum did not show sharp absorptions so that the observed oscillator strengths ( $f_{obsd}$ ) could not be measured accurately.

strengths  $(f_{\text{obsd}})$  could not be measured accurately.<br>
On the other hand, in Table II the  $E_{\text{obsd}}$  and  $f_{\text{obsd}}$  values<br>
for the  $S_1 \leftarrow S_0$  and  $S_2 \leftarrow S_0$  transitions for 3 agree with for the  $S_1 \leftarrow S_0$  and  $S_2 \leftarrow S_0$  transitions for 3 agree with the  $E_{\text{caled}}$  and  $f_{\text{caled}}$  derived by method B better than those derived by method A. The  $f_{obsd}$  values for the  $S_3 \leftarrow S_0$  and the  $E_{\text{caled}}$  and  $f_{\text{caled}}$  derived by method B better than those<br>derived by method A. The  $f_{\text{obsd}}$  values for the  $S_3 \leftarrow S_0$  and<br> $S_4 \leftarrow S_0$  transitions, however, do not agree with the  $f_{\text{caled}}$ <br>derived by method A seems that the parameters  $(I_p$  and  $E_q$ ) of Hinze and Jaff $e^{12}$ are applicable to such a neutral species as **2,** while those of Ishidate and Nagakura<sup>13</sup> are applicable to such an ionic species as *3.6* 

Absorption bands I–IV can be assigned to the  $S_1 \leftarrow S_0$ , species as 3.<sup>6</sup><br>Absorption bands I–IV can be assigned to the S<sub>1</sub>  $\leftarrow$  S<sub>0</sub>, S<sub>2</sub>  $\leftarrow$  S<sub>0</sub>, and S<sub>4</sub>  $\leftarrow$  S<sub>0</sub> transitions, respectively (Table II, method B; Figure 2). Further, the  $E_{\text{caled}}$  for the  $S_2 \leftarrow S_0$ ,  $S_3 \leftarrow S_0$ , and  $S_4 \leftarrow S_0$  transitions, respectively<br>(Table II, method B; Figure 2). Further, the  $E_{\text{calcd}}$  for the  $S_3 \leftarrow S_0$  transition differs a little from its observed value  $S_3 \leftarrow S_0$  transition differs a little from its observed value  $(E_{obsd})$ , which may be attributed to the stabilization of the **S3** state of **3** by the solvent effect.

Figure 3 shows the  $\pi$  formal charges on 2 and 3 in the  $S_0$  and  $S_1$  states, in which the former was derived by method **A** and the latter by method B.

<sup>(12)</sup> **Hinze,** J.; Jaff6, **H. H.** *J. Am. Chem. SOC.* **1962,** *84,* **540.** 

**<sup>(13)</sup>** Ishidate, **A.;** Nagakura, S. *Theor. Chim. Acta* **1966,** *4,* **236.** 



**Figure 4.** <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra of 2 and 3 in Me<sub>2</sub>SO- $d_6$ : A, 2; B, **immediately after addition of 1 equiv** of **NaOCH3.** 

The  $\pi$  formal charges of 2 and 3 calculated by both methods **A** and **B** differ only slightly from each other as shown for  $S_0$  (Table III).

In the S<sub>0</sub> state of 2, 4.4% of the total  $\pi$  formal negative charge is distributed on each of the 1- and  $3-\text{NO}_2$  groups (totaling 8.8%), while in the  $S_1$  state 9.5 and 11.7% of the total  $\pi$  formal negative charge is distributed on the 1- and  $3-NO_2$  groups. Thus, in the  $S_1 \leftarrow S_0$  transition of 2 more 3-NO<sub>2</sub> groups. Thus, in the S<sub>1</sub>  $\leftarrow$  S<sub>0</sub> transition of 2 more<br>negative charge is spread over the 1- and 3-NO<sub>2</sub> groups.<br>A similar result was found in the S<sub>1</sub>  $\leftarrow$  S<sub>0</sub> transition of

**3:** in the  $S_0$  state of 3, 17.0% of the total  $\pi$  formal negative charge is distributed on each of the 1- and  $3-NO_2$  groups (totaling **34.0%),** and, interestingly, 9.7 and 90.3% of one negative charge donated by  $\overline{OCH}_3$  is distributed on all the ring carbons and the two  $NO<sub>2</sub>$  groups, respectively. Thus, the greater part of the negative charge donated is equally spread over the 1- and  $3-NO<sub>2</sub>$  groups.

This spreading mode **of** the negative charge donated by  $\mathrm{OCH}_3$  is different to some extent from that of the 1,1disubstituted naphthalene complex **5.8** 

Further, in the  $S_1 \leftarrow S_0$  transition of 3 (corresponding to band I in Figure 2) 23.2 and 25.5% of the total  $\pi$  formal negative charge is distributed on the  $1-$  and  $3-NO<sub>2</sub>$  groups, respectively, and the total  $\pi$  formal charge on all the ring carbons is positive. Therefore, it can be seen that more negative charge is spread from the ring carbons to the 1 carbons is positive. Therefore, it can be seen that more negative charge is spread from the ring carbons to the 1-<br>and 3-NO<sub>2</sub> group in the  $S_0 \leftarrow S_1$  transition of 3.<br>**NMR Spectra of the Reaction of 1,3-Dinitro-4-**

**phenanthryl Methyl Ether (2) with Sodium Methoxide in Me<sub>2</sub>SO.** Figure 4 shows the time-dependent NMR spectra of the reaction of 2 with  $NaOCH<sub>3</sub>$ . The signal (d-d) at  $\delta$  9.46 can be attributed to the H<sub>8</sub> proton rather than the  $H_5$  proton (Figure 2,  $S_0$  for 2). Upon addition of NaOCH<sub>3</sub>, the singlet at  $\delta$  4.05, attributed to the methoxy proton, was shifted upfield to  $\delta$  2.80, the peak strength corresponding to **six** protons. Such an upfield shift is seen in such naphthalene complexes as  $5^{3g}$ . The downfield shift ( $\delta$  8.96  $\leftarrow$  9.33) of the H<sub>2</sub> singlet corresponds well to the theoretical result; that is, the  $+0.097$ downfield shift ( $\delta$  8.96  $\leftarrow$  9.33) of the H<sub>2</sub> singlet corresponds well to the theoretical result; that is, the +0.097  $\rightarrow$  +0.129 change of the electron density at the 2-position (Figure 2;  $S_0$  for 2 and 3). Further, the  $H_8$  signal was shifted upfield to 6 9.27 upon formation of **3,** corresponding to the  $+0.020 \rightarrow -0.010$  change of the electron density at the 2-position (Figure 2;  $S_0$  for 2 and 3). The doublet at  $\delta$  9.00 could be assigned to the H<sub>10</sub> proton from consideration of the +0.002  $\rightarrow$  +0.022 change of the electron  $\delta$  9.00 could be assigned to the H<sub>10</sub> proton from considdensity at the 10-position (Figure 2;  $S_0$  for 2 and 3).

Kinetics and Equilibrium Constant. A kinetic measurement on the reaction shown in eq 1 was carried out at 25 "C in order to obtain the equilibrium constant. The rate is expressed by eq  $4^{14,15}$  Since the dependence

$$
k_{\psi} = k_{-1} + k_1 [{}^{\circ}OCH_3]
$$
 (4)

*k,:* pseudo-first-order rate constant

**Table IV. Rate and Equilibrium Constants for Formation**  of the Anionic  $\sigma$  Complex 3 in CH<sub>3</sub>OH at 25 °C<sup> $a$ </sup>

| $10^3$ [NaOCH <sub>3</sub> ),<br>м           | $\frac{10^3 k_{\psi}}{s^{-1}},$              | $\kappa_1$<br>$M^{-1} s^{-1}$ | $10^3$ $k_{-1}$ , <sup>b</sup><br>$a^{-1}$ | $K$ $^c$     |
|----------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|--------------|
| 2.31<br>4.61<br>6.92<br>11.5<br>23.1<br>46.1 | 1.42<br>1.79<br>2.18<br>3.01<br>4.99<br>9.19 | $0.178 \pm 0.003$             | $0.965 \pm 0.079$                          | $186 \pm 18$ |

 $[2]_0 = 4.37 \times 10^{-5}$  M;  $\mu = 0.05$  M (NaClO<sub>4</sub>). <sup>b</sup>Calculated from  $eq \stackrel{\sim}{4} \cdot \stackrel{\sim}{\cdot} K_1 = k_1/k_{-1}.$ 

**Table V. Rate and Equilibrium Constants for Formation of Various Anionic** *u* **Complexes in CH,OH at 25 'C** 



#### **Scheme I**



of  $k_{\psi}$  on [NaOCH<sub>3</sub>] was linear,  $k_1$  and  $k_{-1}$  could be obtained from the slope and intercept (Table **IV)** and are compared with those of the benzene and naphthalene complexes in Table **V.15J6** 

The  $K_1$  value for 3 is a little smaller than that for 5, which results from a greater decrease in  $k_1$  than in  $k_{-1}$ . We stated in previous work<sup>8</sup> that, in the  $S_1 \leftarrow S_0$  transition of the complex, the more  $\pi$  formal negative charge that is spread from ring carbons to the nitro groups, the more the complex, the more  $\pi$  formal negative charge that is<br>spread from ring carbons to the nitro groups, the more<br>stable the complex. In the  $S_1 \leftarrow S_0$  transition of 5 the  $\pi$ <br>famel negative sharps on the 2 and 4 NO grou formal negative charge on the 2- and  $4-\text{NO}_2$  groups increases from  $-0.6062$  to  $-0.9039$  (49.1% increase), while in the  $S_1 \leftarrow S_0$  transition of 3 the charge on the 1- and 3- $NO_2$ groups increases from -0.885 to -1.265 (42.9% increase). These results correlate well with the difference between the  $K_1$  values of 3 and 5.

The smaller  $k_1$  for 3 compared with 5 may be attributed to the steric hindrance exerted by  $H<sub>5</sub>$  against the approach of a nucleophile. Further, this difference could result from the higher potential energy of the transition state because of steric crowding of  $H_5$ , two methoxyl groups, and the

**<sup>(14)</sup> Fendler, J. H.; Fendler, E.** J.; **Griffin,** C. **E.** *J. Org. Chem.* 1969, **34,** 689.

**<sup>(15)</sup> Bernasconi,** C. **F.** *J. Am. Chem. SOC.* 1968, *90,* **4982.** 

**<sup>(16)</sup> Fendler, E. J.; Fendler, J. H.; Byme,** W. **E.; Griffin,** C. **E. J.** *Org. Chem.* 1968, **33,4141.** 

**3-NO2** group. It can be seen that **4** is far less stable than 5 and **3,** which is attributed largely to incomplete localization of the negative charge donated by  $\mathrm{OCH}_3$ .

#### **Experimental Section**

**General Procedures.** Melting points are uncorrected. Elemental analyses were performed at the Microanalytical Center of Gunma University. Visible absorption spectra were measured with a Hitachi-124 UV-vis spectrophotometer. 'H NMR spectra were taken with a Varian A-60 spectrometer. All reagents were purified by repeated recrystallization or by distillations.

**Materials.** The synthetic sequence for 2 is as shown in Scheme I.

**4-Phenanthrol** (10). Compound 10 was prepared by the sequence in Scheme I according to the method of Haworth.<sup>1</sup> Yields: **7,** 49%; **8,** 72%; 9, 60%; **10,** 50%. Mp: **7,** 166.5-168.5 "C (lit.17 mp 171-173 "C); **8,** 92.0-95.0 "C (lit.I7 mp 94-95 **"C);**  9, 66.5–67.0 °C (lit.<sup>17</sup> mp 69 °C); **10**, **112.0–113.5** °C (lit.<sup>17</sup> mp 112.0-113.5 "C).

**1,3-Dinitro-4-phenanthrol(ll).** To a stirred solution of 15 g (0.078 mol) of **10** and 900 mL of acetic acid was added dropwise 14.1 g of 70%  $HNO<sub>3</sub>$  (0.157 mol) at 60 °C with stirring, and the mixture was stirred for an additional 3 h. The mixture was poured onto ice water, and the precipitate was filtered, dried, and subjected to column chromatography (silica gel-benzene).

(17) Haworth, R. D. *J.* Chem. Sac. **1932,** 1125.

When the benzene fraction was concentrated by distillation, 5.6 g (23%) of pure 11 crystallized: mp 189-190 °C (lit.<sup>17</sup> mp  $205 - 208$  °C).

**4-Chloro-1,3-dinitrophenanthrene (12).** A mixture of 5.6 g (0.02 mol) of 11, 5.9 g (0.04 mol) of N,N-diethylaniline, and 3.8 g (0.02 mol) of p-toluenesulfonyl chloride was stirred at 80-90 "C for 8 h and then cooled to room temperature. After 300 mL of 1 N HCl was added, the mixture was stirred well, filtered, and dried. The residue was subjected to column chromatography (silica gel-benzene). After the benzene fraction was concentrated,  $5.2$  g (87%) of pure 12 crystallized out: mp 175.5-176.7 °C. Anal. Calcd for  $C_{14}H_{7}C1N_{2}O_{4}$ : C, 55.55; H, 2.33; N, 9.26. Found: C, 55.43; H, 2.34; N, 9.04.

**1,3-Dinitro-4-phenanthryl Methyl Ether** (2). A methanolic  $KOCH<sub>3</sub>$  solution (0.344 g, 0.0049 mol) was added dropwise to a 30-mL MezSO solution of 1 g (0.00328 mol) of **12** at room temperature; the mixture was stirred for 2 h and then poured onto 200 **mL** of ice water containing 2.5 mT. of 2 N HC1. The precipitate was fdtered, dried, and subjected to column chromatography (silica gel-benzene). After the benzene fraction was concentrated, recrystallization from ligroin yielded 0.82 g (83%) of pure 2: mp 155.5-156 °C. Anal. Calcd for  $C_{15}H_{10}N_2O_5$ : C, 60.41; H, 3.38; N, 9.39. Found: C, 60.20, H, 3.36; N, 9.18.

**Rate Measurement.** The increase in the absorbance of **3**  compared with that of **2** was measured at constant ionic strength (NaClO<sub>4</sub>) with a molar excess of NaOCH<sub>3</sub> at 25 °C with a thermostated Union stopped-flow spectrophotometer RA-401 (Union Giken) in order to estimate the pseudo-first-order rate constant  $(k_{\nu}).$ 

# **Proton Inventory of Phosphate Monoanion Catalyzed Hydrolysis of** *S* **-Ethyl Trifluorot hioacetate**

Jean M. Allen and K. S. Venkatasubban\*

Department *of* Natural Sciences, University *of* North Florida, Jacksonville, *Florida 32216* 

Received April 16, 1985

Dihydrogen phosphate monoanion  $(H_2PO_4^-)$  catalyzes the hydrolysis of S-ethyl trifluorothioacetate. In sodium dihydrogen phosphate solutions at pH 4.2 the only catalysts of concern are H<sub>2</sub>O and H<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub><sup>-</sup>. The kinetic solvent isotope effects  $(k_H/k_D)$  on the water and  $H_2PQ_4^-$  catalyzed hydrolysis are 2.86 and 2.00, respectively. The observed isotope effects suggest a general base mechanism for both water and  $H_2PO_4^-$  catalyzed hydrolysis. In mixtures of HzO and **DzO,** the water catalyzed hydrolysis shows a nonlinear dependence on the atom fraction of deuterium, n. This nonlinear dependence is consistent with a three-proton model for the transition state. The  $H_2PO_4^-$  catalyzed hydrolysis shows a linear dependence on n. This linear dependence is consistent with a one-proton model for the transition state in which a single proton is responsible for the entire observed solvent isotope effect.

The general base catalyzed hydrolysis of S-ethyl trifluorothioacetate is well established. Acetate ion, imidazole, and phosphate mono- and dianions are all known to function **as** general bases in the above reaction.' In an effort to define the transition state structures for such a general base catalyzed reaction in greater detail, we have conducted a proton-inventory study of the phosphate monoanion catalyzed hydrolysis of ethyl trifluorothioacetate. The results are reported herein.

#### **Results**

The pseudo-first-order rate constants for the monoanion catalyzed hydrolysis were measured in sodium dihydrogen phosphate solutions at **pH 4.2.** At this pH the only catalysts we need to consider are  $H_2PO_4^-$  ion and water. The water reaction is independent of pH between pH 2 and 7, and phosphate exists exclusively in the monoanionic form at this pH. The three  $pK_a$  values for  $H_3PO_4$  are 2.12, 7.21,

(1) Fedor, L. R.; Bruice, T. C. *J.* Am. Chem. *SOC.* **1965,** 87, 4138.

**Table I. First-Order Rate Constants for the Hydrolysis of**  S-Ethyl Trifluorothioacetate in NaH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> Solutions at pL 4.20 $^{\circ}$  at Different Atom Fractions of Deuterium at  $30 \pm 0.1$ **"C (Ionic Strength** = **1.0 M with NaCl)** 



 $^{a}$  pL = pH or pD; reported values are uncorrected pH meter readings.  $^{b}$  Mean of 3–5 determinations, reproducible within about 3%. <sup>c</sup>Rate constants measured at pH 3.15.

and 12.32, respectively.2 Table I lists the observed first-order rate constants for different phosphate mo-

**0022-3263/85/1950-5108\$01.50/0**  *0* 1985 American Chemical Society